

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
024	King's Lynn Town Football Club	Keeping the Lights on After Matchdays — Installation of solar panels	£79,611	£25,000	4	7	12	4	3	7	10	47	Reject	Capital	Joshua Lowe	Evidence is largely anecdotal, with limited delivery detail. Planning permission, rural reach and going-concern risk require clarification; the panel recommends rejection.
037	St Margarets with St Nicholas	William Street Car Park Resurfacing — Car Park Resurfacing	£25,000	£25,000	8	8	8	3	3	4	11	45	Reject	Capital	Francis Bone & Deborah Henegan	The panel requires clarification of access arrangements, the application route through KLAC, and the relevance of signatories. With no match funding and payment returning to the Borough Council for the works, rejection is recommended.
001	Adapted Sports Hub	The Adapted Sports Hub Trust — Provision of specialist services for less abled children and adults This application is for prelim fees and associated costs	£25,121	£25,000	7	8	4	3	6	6	6	40	Reject	Capital	Simon Ring	Consultation and evidence of need are limited, particularly beyond Ride United. Preliminary costs do not deliver the final project, the plan is not deliverable before March 2028, and the rural and financial cases are weak. Rejection is recommended until planning permission is secured.
			£129,732	£75,000												